arXiv:1207.6756v2 [math.PR] 8 Aug 2012

RATE OF CONVERGENCE FOR DISCRETE APPROXIMATION OF OPTION PRICES

LAURI VIITASAARI[1,*]

Abstract. In this article, we provide a method how one can obtain exact formula for the error in discrete approximation of option prices in continuous model. In particular, we show that it is sufficient to study the error for call options and digital options in order to give an explicit formula for the error of the price approximation of a general option. As an example, we study the convergence of prices of general class of binomial models to the Black-Scholes prices. Barrier options in CRR model are also considered.

Keywords: rate of convergence, binomial model, Black-Scholes model

2010 AMS subject classification: 91G20, 91G60

1. Introduction

In this article, we study discrete approximations of a continuous model and provide a method to obtain exact formula for the pricing error for general class of options. Moreover, we show that the rate of convergence is the same as for digital options. In addition, we show that if the payoff function is continuous and the second derivative exists almost everywhere, then the rate of convergence is the same as for call options. This also holds for convex payoff functions, for which the second derivative exists in the sense of distribution. We illustrate our result by approximating Black-Scholes prices with generalised class of binomial models and find the exact formula for the error. We also consider barrier options in CRR model.

---

[1] Department of Mathematics and System Analysis, Aalto University School of Science, Helsinki, P.O. Box 1100, FIN-02015 Aalto, FINLAND

[*] Acknowledgements: The author thanks Esko Valkeila for discussions and comments which improved the paper. The author thanks the Finnish Doctoral Programme in Stochastics and Statistics for financial support.

In our method, we derive the exact coefficients for the error expansion in terms of error expansion for digital and call options. Our main tool is the pricing formulas derived in [15], in which the author provides a pricing formula for general class of models and payoff functions. Moreover, the pricing formulas are valid for all kinds of underlying assets, including path-dependent options. Hence our method can be applied to obtain error expansion for path-dependent option as well.

In a binomial model with n time periods, the stock price S either rises to Su or falls to Sd at each time period. With different choices of u and d one gets different kind of binomial models.

It is well known that the binomial option prices converges to the Black-Scholes option prices as the number n of time periods increase to infinity. The first proofs to this result were given by Cox et al. [4] and Rendleman and Bartter [13]. A more general proof was afterwards provided by Hsia [7].

Later the focus has been on the rate of convergence. Heston and Zhou [6] worked with Cox, Ross, and Rubinstein (CRR) model, where $u = e^{\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}}$ and $d = 1/u$ with σ corresponding to the volatility of the stock in Black-Scholes model and $\Delta t = \frac{T}{n}$. They showed that the error is of order $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ for general class of options. However, for European call options the convergence is faster. Leisen and Reimer [11] proved that for the CRR model, the Jarrow and Rudd [8] model and the Tian [14] model, the error for European call options is of order $O\left(n^{-1}\right)$. However, Leisen and Reimer did not give an explicit formula for the error.

First two results on the exact formula for the error are due to Francine and Marc Diener [5] and Walsh [16]. Francine and Marc Diener worked with the CRR model and prove the following result in our notation:

In the n-period CRR model, if $S_0 = 1$, the binomial price $V^C_{BT(n)}(K)$ of European call option with strike K and maturity $T = 1$ satisfies

$$V^C_{BT(n)}(K) = V^C_{BS}(K) + \frac{e^{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}}}{24\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{A - 12\sigma^2(\Delta_n^2 - 1)}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

where $V_{BS}^{C}(K)$ is the corresponding Black-Scholes price, $\Delta_n = 1 - 2frac\left[\frac{\log(\frac{1}{K})+n\log d}{\log u - \log d}\right]$, $A = -\sigma^2(6 + d_1^2 + d_2^2) + 4(d_1^2 - d_2^2)r - 12r^2$, and $frac(x)$ denotes the fractional part of x.

Walsh chooses $u = e^{\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}+r\Delta t}$ and $d = e^{-\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}+r\Delta t}$ and considers a general class of options in a binomial model with even number of periods. In particular, Walsh considers payoff functions which are piecewise C^2, regular, and the function and its derivatives are polynomially bounded. Walsh also gives an explicit formula for the error. For call option he obtains

$$V_{BT(n)}^{C}(K) = V_{BS}^{C}(K) + \frac{S_0 e^{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}}}{24\sigma\sqrt{2\pi T}} \frac{A - 12\sigma^2 T(\Delta_n^2 - 1)}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

where A is constant and

$$\Delta_n = 1 - 2frac\left[\frac{\log\left(\frac{S_0}{K}\right) + n\log d}{\log u - \log d}\right].$$

The Δ_n appearing in these formulas oscillates between -1 and 1, and thus the binomial prices usually oscillate around Black-Scholes prices. As a result, it can happen that doubling the number of time steps leads to larger error. The smooth convergence, in which case one can improve the rate by standard extrapolation techniques, was studied by Chang and Palmer [3]. In particular, they generalised the results of Diener and Diener [5] and Walsh [16] by considering a generalised class of binomial models with additional parameter λ_n appearing in u and d. As a result, they obtained an explicit formula for the error for digital and call options in their generalised class of binomial models, and with suitable choices of λ_n they obtained smooth convergence. The result of Chang and Palmer is one of the main tools of our analysis, and we introduce their result in section 4.

The rate of convergence can also be improved by constructing the binomial model in suitable way. The problem was studied first by Joshi [10] for odd number of time periods and later by Xiao [17] for even number of time periods. However, in such cases one needs to consider the underlying option for which one wants to improve the convergence and the improved rate does not hold for general options.

In this paper, we consider generalised class of binomial models introduced by Chang and Palmer and derive an explicit formula for the error for general class of options. We consider payoff functions which are piecewise C^1 and polynomially bounded, and show that if the initial stock price is S_0, then the binomial price $V^f_{BT(n)}$ with n time periods satisfies

$$V^f_{BT(n)} = V^f_{BS} + \frac{Z_{n,1}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{Z_{n,2}}{n} + o\left(n^{-1}\right), \tag{1.1}$$

where V^f_{BS} is the Black-Scholes price of the option. We also give explicit formulas for coefficients $Z_{n,1}$ and $Z_{n,2}$. Our result covers wider class of options than the result of Walsh. Moreover, our formula seems to be a simpler one and has a simpler proof. Our result is also valid if n is odd number. We also give additional assumptions under which we obtain rate of order $O\left(n^{-1}\right)$.

The fact that binomial prices converges to Black-Scholes prices holds also for path-dependent options (e.g. see [1]). For barrier options, the convergence was studied by Lin and Palmer [12], who derived explicit error formulas for barrier call options in CRR model and showed that the rate of convergence is of order $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$. With our method, we obtain error expansion for general payoff functions.

Our method can be used to obtain error formulas for any discrete approximation of continuous time model. For example, one can obtain error formulas in trinomial model approximations for Black-Scholes prices. Another example is a discrete approximation of exponential Levy model. Cawston and Vostrikova [2] considered an exponential Levy model and derived sufficient and necessary conditions under which the prices of certain discrete time Levy model converges to the actual prices. However, they did not consider the rate of convergence. Third example is the article by Xu et al. [9], who considered the numerical approximation for a European option pricing model with jump-diffusion. In these cases, it is sufficient to derive the error for call and digital options and by following our method one can obtain the error for general options.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we state our main results with discussions. Section 4 contains all auxiliary facts which we need to prove our main results. Section 5 is devoted to actual proofs.

2. Results

We use the following notation: σ as the volatility, r as the continuously compounded interest rate and T as the maturity. We denote by $V^C_{BT(n)}(a)$ the price of the call option with strike a and maturity T in the n-period binomial model and $V^C_{BS}(a)$ the Black-Scholes price of the call option with strike a and maturity T, given by:

$$V^C_{BS}(a) = S_0\Phi(d_1) - ae^{-rT}\Phi(d_2),$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the standard normal distribution function. Similarly, the prices for digital options $\mathbf{1}_{x\geq a}$ with strike a are denoted by $V^D_{BT(n)}(a)$ and $V^D_{BS}(a)$. Prices for option $f(S_T)$ are denoted by $V^f_{BT(n)}$ and V^f_{BS}. We also need several short notations for different functions of n and a. The list and definitions are given in appendices. We consider the following generalised class of binomial models introduced by Chang and Palmer [3].

Definition 2.1 (The class of binomial models)**.** *Let* $\Delta t = \frac{T}{n}$ *and* λ_n *an arbitrary bounded function of* n*. We consider* n*-period binomial model, where*

$$u = e^{\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}+\lambda_n\sigma^2\Delta t}, \quad d = e^{-\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}+\lambda_n\sigma^2\Delta t},$$

with initial stock price S_0*.*

With different choices of λ_n one gets different binomial models. For example, the choice $\lambda_n = 0$ gives the Cox, Ross and Rubinstein model, and the choice $\lambda_n = r/\sigma^2 - 1/2$ gives the Jarrow and Rudd model [8].

We consider the following class of payoff functions.

Definition 2.2. *For a function* $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$*, we denote* $f \in \Pi$ *if* f *is continuously differentiable except on a set of points (which is at most countable)* $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_N$ *(and possibly on* $s_0 = 0$*) in which* f *and* f' *have jump-discontinuities. Moreover,* f' *is polynomially bounded i.e. there exists positive constants* c_1, c_2 *and* p *such that* $|f'(a)| \leq c_1 + c_2 a^p$ *for every* $a \geq 0$.

In what follows, the jump from left at zero and left-limits at zero are set to zero i.e. $\Delta_- f(0) = f(0-) = f'(0-) = 0$.

Now we can state our first main theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $f \in \Pi$*. Then for the* n*-period generalised binomial model, the price of a European option* $f(S_T)$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
V^f_{BT(n)} &= V^f_{BS} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^\infty f'(a) \frac{e^{-rT} e^{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Delta_n \mathrm{d}a \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty f'(a) \frac{e^{-rT} e^{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[B_n - \frac{d_2 \Delta_n^2}{2} \right] \mathrm{d}a \\
&\quad + \sum_{N_1(s_k)} \Delta_- f(s_k) J_n(s_k) + \sum_{N_1(s_k)} \Delta_+ f(s_k) \widehat{J}_n(s_k) \\
&\quad + \sum_{N_2(s_k)} \left[\Delta_- f(s_k) + \Delta_+ f(s_k) \right] J_n(s_k) \\
&\quad + o(n^{-1}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where the functions Δ_n*,* B_n*,* J_n *and* $\widehat{J}_n$ *are defined in Appendix A,* $N_1(s_k)$ *is the set of jumps of* f *on the lattice points and* $N_2(s_k)$ *is the set of jumps of* f *not on the lattice points. Moreover, the integrals are bounded in* n.

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $f \in \Pi$ *and assume that* $f'(a)$ *is absolutely continuous on every interval* (s_k, s_{k+1})*. Moreover, assume that* f'' *is polynomially bounded. Then for the* n*-period generalised binomial model, the price of a European* *option* $f(S_T)$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} V^f_{BT(n)} &= V^f_{BS} + \frac{1}{n}\int_0^\infty f''(a)I_n(a)\mathrm{d}a \\ &+ \sum_{N_1(s_k)} \Delta_- f(s_k)J_n(s_k) + \sum_{N_1(s_k)} \Delta_+ f(s_k)\widehat{J}_n(s_k) \\ &+ \sum_{N_2(s_k)} \left[\Delta_- f(s_k) + \Delta_+ f(s_k)\right] J_n(s_k) \\ &+ \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{N}(f'(s_k+) - f'(s_k-))I_n(s_k) \\ &+ o(n^{-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where the functions I_n, J_n *and* $\widehat{J}_n$ *are defined in Appendix A,* $N_1(s_k)$ *is the set of jumps of* f *on the lattice points and* $N_2(s_k)$ *is the set of jumps of* f *not on the lattice points. Moreover, the integral is bounded in* n*.*

Remark 2.1. *For general convex functions* f*, the second derivative* f'' *exists in the sense of distribution as a positive radon measure* μ*. In this case we obtain rate of order* $O(n^{-1})$ *under additional hypothesis on the measure* μ*. This can be obtained by approximating convex function with a sequence of* C^2 *functions.*

Our theorems show that for a wide class of options, the rate of convergence is of order $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$. Moreover, the derivative f' of the payoff function is usually absolutely continuous and hence the second derivative exists almost everywhere. In this case, the rate is of order $O(n^{-1})$ and if the function has discontinuities, then the rate is of order $O(1/\sqrt{n})$.

Walsh also gave an explicit formula for the error and proved that under his assumptions, the rate of convergence is of order $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ if the discontinuity is not on a lattice point and the rate of convergence is of order $O(n^{-1})$ if all the discontinuities are on lattice points. Compared to the formula of Walsh, our result covers a wider class of payoff functions and a wide class of binomial models. Note also that we obtain, as Walsh did under his assumptions, that if f' is absolutely continuous on every interval (s_k, s_{k+1}), all the discontinuities lie on lattice points and the function is regular, then the rate of convergence is of order $O(n^{-1})$ for any binomial model within our class. Indeed, if all the discontinuities lie on lattice points, then $\Delta_n(s_k) = 1$ for all jump points s_k and by regularity, $\Delta_- f(s_k) = \Delta_+ f(s_k)$. Thus the coefficients of the term $1\sqrt{n}$ cancel and we obtain convergence of order $O(n^{-1})$.

With same procedure, we can obtain explicit error expansion for Barrier type options if the error formulas are known for barrier digital options and barrier call options. Using result of Viitasaari [15] and result of Lin and Palmer [12] (see Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 4.4) we can obtain explicit error formulas for Barrier options in CRR model for continuous payoff functions.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f \in \Pi$ and assume that f is continuous and $f'(a)$ is absolutely continuous on every interval (s_k, s_{k+1}). Moreover, assume that $H \neq s_k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for the n-period CRR model with initial stock price is S_0, the asymptotic formulas for European options with barrier H and maturity T are as follows:*

(1) *for down-and-out (do) and down-and-in call (di) options with $S_0 > H$:*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{BT(n)}^{f,do}(a) = V_{BS}^{f,do}(a) &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^\infty f''(a) F_{n,1}(a) \mathrm{d}a \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty f''(a) F_{n,2}(a) \mathrm{d}a \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{N} (f'(s_k+) - f'(s_k-)) F_{n,1}(s_k) \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{N} (f'(s_k+) - f'(s_k-)) F_{n,2}(s_k) \\ &+ o(n^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{f,di}_{BT(n)}(a) &= V^{f,di}_{BS}(a) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\int_0^\infty f''(a)F_{n,1}(a)\mathrm{d}a \\
&+ \frac{1}{n}\int_0^\infty f''(a)F_{n,3}(a)\mathrm{d}a \\
&- \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{k=0}^{N}(f'(s_k+) - f'(s_k-))F_{n,1}(s_k) \\
&+ \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{N}(f'(s_k+) - f'(s_k-))F_{n,3}(s_k) \\
&+ o(n^{-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) *for up-and-in (ui) and up-and-out options (uo) with $S_0 < H$:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{f,ui}_{BT(n)}(a) &= V^{f,ui}_{BS}(a) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\int_0^\infty f''(a)F_{n,4}(a)\mathrm{d}a \\
&+ \frac{1}{n}\int_0^\infty f''(a)F_{n,5}(a)\mathrm{d}a \\
&+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{k=0}^{N}(f'(s_k+) - f'(s_k-))F_{n,4}(s_k) \\
&+ \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{N}(f'(s_k+) - f'(s_k-))F_{n,5}(s_k) \\
&+ o(n^{-1}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{f,uo}_{BT(n)}(a) &= V^{f,uo}_{BS}(a) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\int_0^\infty f''(a)F_{n,4}(a)\mathrm{d}a \\
&+ \frac{1}{n}\int_0^\infty f''(a)F_{n,7}(a)\mathrm{d}a \\
&- \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{k=0}^{N}(f'(s_k+) - f'(s_k-))F_{n,4}(s_k) \\
&+ \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{N}(f'(s_k+) - f'(s_k-))F_{n,7}(s_k) \\
&+ o(n^{-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

where the functions $F_{n,i}$ are given in Appendix B.

Remark 2.2. *On the theorem we assumed $H \neq s_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If this is not the case however, the only effect is that the formulas become slightly more complicated as we have to consider whether $H = s_k$ is a terminal stock* *price or not. Indeed, the error formulas for down-and-in and down-and-out options are different in these cases (see Theorem 4.4).*

Remark 2.3. *As for stock options, we could obtain error formulas for barrier options with convex payoff as well.*

3. Conclusions

In this article, we have derived the rate of convergence and exact formula for the convergence of the binomial tree scheme. We have covered a general class of binomial models, and our result remain valid for wider class of payoff functions than those of Walsh. Our formula is also quite simple as the error can be expressed only in terms of Lebesgue integral for continuous payoff functions. For discontinuous payoff functions, we only have to add terms that correspond the jumps. We also obtain error formulas for barrier type options in CRR model approximation for Black-Scholes prices.

A significant benefit of our results is that the same method can be used to obtain rate for any discrete time approximation of continuous time model. In particular, in any such case it is sufficient to compute the error for call options and digital options, and the error for general options follows from our procedure. Note also that the same method can be used to obtain error for path-dependent option if the error for corresponding digital and call options are computed.

As a drawback, we lose rate in the remaining term. In particular, usually the error expansion for digital or call options are obtained by Taylor expansion and the remaining term is, for example $O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right)$ as for binomial models. Following our method, the remaining term is not $O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right)$ but rather $o(n^{-1})$. However, this can be overcome by computing the exact coefficients for higher order terms in error expansions of digital and call options.

4. AUXILIARY FACTS

4.1. **Pricing options with digital and call options.** In [15], the author considered a certain market model where the bond is given by an increasing function B_t with $B_0 = 1$, and we are given the underlying asset X_t and the equivalent martingale measure Q. The following class of payoff functions was considered.

Definition 4.1. *For a function* $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, *we denote* $f \in \Pi_Q(X_T)$ *if the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. f *is continuously differentiable except on at most countable set of points* $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_N$ *(and possibly on* $s_0 = 0$*) in which* f *and* f' *have jump-discontinuities,*
2. $f(X_T) \in L^1(Q)$,
3. f *satisfies*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} |f(x-)|Q(X_T \geq x) = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

and,

4. *the Riemann-Stieltjes integral*

$$\int_0^\infty f'(a)V^C(\mathrm{d}a)$$

exists, where

$$V^C(a) = \mathbb{E}_Q[B_T^{-1}(X_T - a)^+] \tag{4.2}$$

denotes the price of European call option with strike a *under measure* Q.

For Barrier type options, the class $\Pi_Q(X_T, Y, A)$ of payoff function under consideration was a class of functions f such that the assumptions of $\Pi_Q(X_T)$ was satisfied, where on condition (4.1), $Q(X_T \geq x)$ was replaced with $Q(X_T \geq x, Y \in A)$ for some Borel measurable set A and $V^C(a)$ was replaced with

$$V^{C,Y,A}(a) = \mathbb{E}_Q[B_T^{-1}(X_T - a)^+ \mathbf{1}_{Y \in A}].$$

One of our main tools are the following pricing formulas. For proofs and details, we refer to [15].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in \Pi_Q(X_T)$. Then the price V^f of an option $f(X_T)$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} V^f &= B_T^{-1} f(0) - \int_0^\infty f'(a) V^C(\mathrm{d}a) \\ &\quad + B_T^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^N \Delta_- f(s_k) Q(X_T \geq s_k) \\ &\quad + B_T^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^N \Delta_+ f(s_k) Q(X_T > s_k), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\Delta_- f(s_k) = f(s_k) - f(s_k-)$ and $\Delta_+ f(s_k)$ is a jump on right side at s_k, respectively. The jump from the left at zero is defined as $\Delta_- f(0) = 0$.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $f \in \Pi_Q(X_T)$. If f' is absolutely continuous on every interval (s_k, s_{k+1}), then*

$$\begin{aligned} V^f &= B_T^{-1} f(0) + \int_0^\infty f''(a) V^C(a) \mathrm{d}a \\ &\quad + B_T^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^N \Delta_- f(s_k) Q(X_T \geq s_k) \\ &\quad + B_T^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^N \Delta_+ f(s_k) Q(X_T > s_k) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^N (f'(s_k+) - f'(s_k-)) V^C(s_k) \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Corollary 4.2. *If f is a linear combination of convex functions, then*

$$V^f = B_T^{-1} f(0) + f'_+(0) \mathbb{E}_Q[\overline{X}_T] + \int_0^\infty V^C(a) \mu(\mathrm{d}a), \tag{4.5}$$

where μ is the measure associated with the second derivative of f.

For barrier type options, the following theorem was proved in [15].

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f \in \Pi_Q(X_T, Y, A)$. Then the price of the barrier option $f(X_T)\mathbf{1}_{Y\in A}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} V^{f,Y,A} &= B_T^{-1} f(0) Q(Y \in A) - \int_0^\infty f'(a) V^{C,Y,A}(\mathrm{d}a) \\ &+ B_T^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \Delta_- f(s_k) Q(X_T \geq s_k, Y \in A) \\ &+ B_T^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \Delta_+ f(s_k) Q(X_T > s_k, Y \in A). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Corollary 4.3. *Let $f \in \Pi_Q(X_T, Y, A)$ and assume that $f'(a)$ is absolutely continuous on every interval (s_k, s_{k+1}). Then the price of the barrier option $f(X_T)\mathbf{1}_{Y\in A}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} V^{f,Y,A} &= B_T^{-1} f(0) + \int_0^\infty f''(a) V^{C,Y,A}(a) \mathrm{d}a \\ &+ B_T^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \Delta_- f(s_k) Q(X_T \geq s_k, Y \in A) \\ &+ B_T^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \Delta_+ f(s_k) Q(X_T > s_k, Y \in A) \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{N} (f'(s_k+) - f'(s_k-)) V^{C,Y,A}(s_k). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

4.2. **Rate of convergence for call and digital options.** The following error expansions in a generalised binomial model for digital and call options was proved in [3].

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\Delta t = \frac{T}{n}$ and λ_n an arbitrary bounded function of n. For the n-period binomial model, where*

$$u = e^{\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t} + \lambda_n \sigma^2 \Delta t}, \quad d = e^{-\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t} + \lambda_n \sigma^2 \Delta t},$$

if the initial stock price is S_0 and the strike price is a and maturity T, then

(1) *the price of a digital call option satisfies*

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^D_{BT(n)}(a) = V^D_{BS}(a) + \frac{e^{-rT}e^{-\frac{-d_2^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left[\frac{\Delta_n}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{d_2(\Delta_n)^2}{2n} + \frac{B_n}{n}\right] \\
+ O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}
$$

and

(2) *the price of a European call option satisfies*

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^C_{BT(n)}(a) = V^C_{BS}(a) + \frac{S_0 e^{-\frac{-d_1^2}{2}}}{24\sigma\sqrt{2\pi T}}\frac{A_n - 12\sigma^2 T((\Delta_n)^2 - 1)}{n} \\
+ O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}
$$

where Δ_n, A_n and B_n are given in Appendix A.

Remark 4.1. *In* [3]*, the authors actually stated their result in a form where the remaining term was given by $o(n^{-1})$. However, by careful examination of the proof one obtains that it is actually $O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right)$.*

Remark 4.2. *In the proof of Theorem 4.3, the authors assumed that the strike a satisfies $S_0 d^n \leq a \leq \frac{u}{d} S_0 u^n$. This is a natural assumption since in the n-period binomial model, the price of a digital option is e^{-rT} and the price of a call option is S_0 if $a < S_0 d^n$. Similarly, for $a > S_0 u^n$ the prices for both are zero. However, it is straightforward to see that the formulas of Theorem 4.3 are true for any strike a.*

Remark 4.3. *In* [3]*, the authors used payoff function $f(x) = \mathbf{1}_{x\geq a}$ for digital option with strike a. Evidently, if the strike a is not on a lattice point, the formula (4.8) holds for the payoff $f(x) = \mathbf{1}_{x>a}$ as well. For our result, we need similar formula for payoff $f(x) = \mathbf{1}_{x>a}$ when the strike is on a lattice point. By examining the proof of Chang and Palmer* [3]*, we obtain that in this case, the formula is given by (4.8), where Δ_n is replaced with $\Delta_n(a) - 2$. For the details, see* [3].

The following theorem for error expansion in CRR model for barrier call options was derived in [12].

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose the initial stock price is S_0 in the n-period CRR model. Then the asymptotic formulas for the prices of European barrier call options with strike a, barrier H, and maturity T are as follows:*

(1) *for down-and-out (do) and down-and-in call (di) options with $S_0 > H$:*

(a) *if $H < a$, or $H = a$ and $H = a$ is not a terminal stock price:*

$$V^{C,do}_{BT(n)}(a) = V^{C,do}_{BS}(a) + [A_1\Delta^H_n]\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \left[B_1 - D_1\left(\Delta_n\right)^2 - E_1\left(\Delta^H_n\right)^2\right]\frac{1}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

$$V^{C,di}_{BT(n)}(a) = V^{C,di}_{BS}(a) - [A_1\Delta^H_n]\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \left[B_2 + D_2\left(\Delta_n\right)^2 - E_1\left(\Delta^H_n\right)^2\right]\frac{1}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right);$$

(b) *if $H > a$, or $H = a$ and $H = a$ is a terminal stock price:*

$$V^{C,do}_{BT(n)}(a) = V^{C,do}_{BS}(a) + [A_2\Delta^H_n]\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \left[B_3 - C\varepsilon_n^2 - E_2\left(\Delta^H_n\right)^2\right]\frac{1}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

$$V^{C,di}_{BT(n)}(a) = V^{C,di}_{BS}(a) - [A_2\Delta^H_n]\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \left[B_4 + D_3\left(\Delta_n\right)^2 - C\varepsilon_n^2 - E_2\left(\Delta^H_n\right)^2\right]\frac{1}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

(2) *for up-and-in (ui) and up-and-out options (uo) with $S_0 < H$, if $H > K$:*

$$V^{C,ui}_{BT(n)}(a) = V^{C,ui}_{BS}(a) + [A_3\Delta^H_n]\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \left[B_5 - D_2\left(\Delta_n\right)^2 - C\varepsilon_n^2 - E_3\left(\Delta^H_n\right)^2\right]\frac{1}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

$$V^{C,uo}_{BT(n)}(a) = V^{C,uo}_{BS}(a) - [A_3\Delta^H_n]\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$
$$- \left[B_6 + D_1\left(\Delta_n\right)^2 - C\varepsilon_n^2 - E_3\left(\Delta_n^H\right)^2\right]\frac{1}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

where Δ^H_n, Δ_n, A_i, B_i, C, D_i, E_i *and* ε_n *are given in Appendix B.*

5. Proofs

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the following simple lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $f \in \Pi$. *Then*

$$\int_0^\infty |af'(a)|^p \left|e^{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}}d_1^3\right| \mathrm{d}a < \infty \tag{5.1}$$

for every $p \geq 1$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let n be fixed and assume first that f is continuous. We stress the fact that in both models, the derivative of the price of the call option with respect to the strike price is the price of negative digital option.

Evidently, we may apply Theorem 4.1 for pricing option in n-period binomial model. In order to apply Theorem 4.1 in Black-Scholes model, it is sufficient to show that

$$\left|\int_0^\infty f'(a)V^D_{BS}(a)\mathrm{d}a\right| < \infty, \tag{5.2}$$

where $V^D_{BS}(a) = e^{-rT}\Phi(d_2)$. Note that for every number $x > 0$, we have

$$1 - \Phi(x) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}x}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}.$$

Hence for sufficiently large a, we have

$$\Phi(d_2) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}|d_2|}e^{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}} \leq |d_1|^3 e^{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}}. \tag{5.3}$$

Hence, by Lemma 5.1, we have 5.2.

By (4.8) we have

$$V^D_{BT(n)}(a) - V^D_{BS}(a) = \frac{\Delta_n(a)}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{n}\left[B_n(a) - \frac{d_2\Delta_n^2(a)}{2}\right] + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}O_n(a)$$

for some function $O_n(a)$ which is bounded in n. Thus, by Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V^f_{BT(n)} - V^f_{BS} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^\infty f'(a) \frac{e^{-rT} e^{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Delta_n \mathrm{d}a \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty f'(a) \frac{e^{-rT} e^{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[B_n - \frac{d_2 \Delta_n^2}{2} \right] \mathrm{d}a \\ &+ \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \int_0^\infty f'(a) O_n(a) \mathrm{d}a. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for sufficiently large a, we have,

$$e^{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}} \left| B_n - \frac{d_2 \Delta_n}{2} \right| \leq c e^{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}} |d_1|^3 \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$e^{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}} |\Delta_n| \leq c e^{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}} |d_1|^3 \tag{5.5}$$

for some constant c. Hence the integrals are finite and bounded in n.

To complete the proof, we show that

$$\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \int_0^\infty |f'(a) O_n(a)| \mathrm{d}a = o(n^{-1}).$$

Note that $O^* =: \sup_a \sup_n |O_n(a)| < \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \int_0^\infty |f'(a) O_n(a)| \mathrm{d}a \\ &= \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \int_0^1 |f'(a) O_n(a)| \mathrm{d}a \\ &+ \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \int_1^\infty |f'(a) O_n(a)| \mathrm{d}a \\ &\leq \frac{O^* \sup_{0 \leq a \leq 1} |f'(a)|}{n\sqrt{n}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}} \int_1^\infty |f'(a) O_n(a)| \mathrm{d}a. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, the first term is $o(n^{-1})$. For the second term, we obtain by Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\int_1^\infty |f'(a)O_n(a)|\mathrm{d}a \\
&\leq \left[\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{\epsilon}}}\mathrm{d}a\right]^{\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \left[\int_1^\infty |af'(a)|^{1+\epsilon}\frac{|O_n(a)|^{1+\epsilon}}{n^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\epsilon)}}\mathrm{d}a\right]^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} \\
&\leq c(\epsilon)\frac{(O^*)^\epsilon}{n^\gamma}\left[\sup_n \int_0^\infty |af'(a)|^{1+\epsilon}\frac{|O_n(a)|}{n\sqrt{n}}\mathrm{d}a\right]^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{3\epsilon}{2(\epsilon+1)} > 1$ if $\epsilon > 2$. It remains to show that

$$\sup_n \int_0^\infty |af'(a)|^{1+\epsilon}\frac{|O_n(a)|}{n\sqrt{n}}\mathrm{d}a < \infty.$$

By (5.1), (5.4) and (5.5) this is equivalent to condition

$$\sup_n \int_0^\infty |af'(a)|^{1+\epsilon}V^D_{BT(n)}(a)\mathrm{d}a < \infty.$$

But this follows from the fact that binomial price converges to Black-Scholes price. Finally, by same analysis and Remark 4.3 we obtain that the summation terms which correspond the jumps of f converges and the remaining term is $o(n^{-1})$. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2.2. The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 2.1 and the details are left to the reader. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3. The proof relies on Theorem 4.4, Corollary 4.3 and the arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.1. We omit the details. □

APPENDIX A. LIST OF FUNCTIONS AND CONSTANTS FOR STOCK OPTIONS

$$
\begin{aligned}
u &= e^{\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}+\lambda_n\Delta t}, \quad d = e^{-\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}+\lambda_n\Delta t} \\
\Delta_n &= 1 - 2frac\left[\frac{\log\frac{S_0}{a} + n\log d}{\log\frac{u}{d}}\right], \\
B_n &= \frac{d_1^3 + d_1 d_2^2 + 2d_2 - 4d_1}{24} + \frac{(2 - d_1 d_2 - d_1^2)\sqrt{T}}{6\sigma}(r - \lambda_n\sigma^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{Td_1}{2\sigma^2}(r - \lambda_n\sigma^2)^2, \\
A_n &= -\sigma^2 T(6 + d_1^2 + d_2^2) + 4T(d_1^2 - d_2^2)(r - \lambda_n\sigma^2) - 12T^2(r - \lambda_n\sigma^2)^2, \\
J_n &= \frac{e^{-rT}e^{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left[\frac{\Delta_n}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{d_2\Delta_n^2}{2n} + \frac{B_n}{n}\right], \\
\widehat{J}_n &= \frac{e^{-rT}e^{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left[\frac{\Delta_n - 2}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{d_2(\Delta_n - 2)^2}{2n} + \frac{B_n}{n}\right], \\
I_n &= \frac{S_0 e^{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}}}{24\sigma\sqrt{2\pi T}}\left[A_n - 12\sigma^2 T(\Delta_n^2 - 1)\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

APPENDIX B. LIST OF FUNCTIONS AND CONSTANTS FOR BARRIER OPTIONS IN CRR MODEL

$$
\begin{aligned}
u &= e^{\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}}, \quad d = e^{-\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}} \\
\Delta_n &= 1 - 2frac\left[\frac{\log\frac{S_0}{a} + n\log d}{\log\frac{u}{d}}\right] \\
l_H &= \frac{\log\left(\frac{H}{S_0}\right)}{2\sigma\sqrt{T}}\sqrt{n} + \frac{n}{2} \\
\Delta_n^H &= \begin{cases} frac(2l_H), & \textit{for down options} \\ frac(-2l_H), & \textit{for up options.} \end{cases} \\
\varepsilon_n &= \begin{cases} 0, & \textit{if the effective barrier is not a terminal stock price} \\ 1, & \textit{if the effective barrier is a terminal stock price.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{11} &= \frac{\log\left(\frac{S_0}{a}\right) + \left(r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}; \quad d_{12} = d_{11} - \sigma\sqrt{T} \\
d_{21} &= \frac{\log\left(\frac{H^2}{S_0 a}\right) + \left(r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}; \quad d_{22} = d_{21} - \sigma\sqrt{T} \\
d_{31} &= \frac{\log\left(\frac{S_0}{H}\right) + \left(r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}; \quad d_{32} = d_{31} - \sigma\sqrt{T} \\
d_{41} &= \frac{\log\left(\frac{H}{S_0}\right) + \left(r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}; \quad d_{42} = d_{41} - \sigma\sqrt{T} \\
\alpha &= \frac{r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2}{2\sigma}; \quad \hat{\alpha} = \alpha + \frac{\sigma}{2} \\
\beta &= \frac{\sigma^4 - 4\sigma^2 r + 12r^2}{48\sigma}; \quad \hat{\beta} = -\beta - \frac{\sigma r}{6} \\
g_i &= 2T\left(\alpha^2 d_{i2} + \beta\sqrt{T}\right) + \left(\frac{2\alpha\sqrt{T}}{3} - \frac{d_{i2}}{12}\right)(1 - d_{i2}^2), \quad i = 1,2,3,4 \\
\hat{g}_i &= 2T\left(\hat{\alpha}^2 d_{i1} + \hat{\beta}\sqrt{T}\right) + \left(\frac{2\hat{\alpha}\sqrt{T}}{3} - \frac{d_{i1}}{12}\right)(1 - d_{i1}^2), \quad i = 1,2,3,4 \\
G_1 &= \frac{S_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{d_{11}^2}{2}}(\hat{g}_1 - g_1), \quad G_2 = \frac{S_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{S_0}{H}\right)^{-1-\frac{2r}{\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{d_{21}^2}{2}}(\hat{g}_2 - g_2) \\
G_3 &= \frac{S_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{d_{31}^2}{2}}\left(\hat{g}_3 - \frac{a}{H}g_3\right), \quad G_4 = \frac{S_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{d_{31}^2}{2}}\left(\hat{g}_4 - \frac{a}{H}g_4\right) \\
A_1 &= 4\sqrt{T}h_1(d_{21}, d_{22}), \quad A_2 = 4\sqrt{T}h_1(d_{41}, d_{42}) + \frac{2S_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{d_{31}^2}{2}}\left(1 - \frac{a}{H}\right) \\
A_3 &= 4\sqrt{T}h_1(-d_{41}, -d_{42}) - 4\sqrt{T}h_1(-d_{21}, -d_{22}) - \frac{2S_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{d_{31}^2}{2}}\left(1 - \frac{a}{H}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$h_i(x,y) = \left(\frac{S_0}{H}\right)^{-\frac{2r}{\sigma^2}}\left(H\hat{\alpha}^i\Phi(x) - \frac{S_0 a e^{-rT}}{H}\alpha^i\Phi(y)\right), \quad i = 0,1,2.$$

$$B_1 = G_1 - G_2 + Ih_0(d_{21}, d_{22}), \quad B_2 = B_1 - G_1$$

$$B_3 = G_3 - G_4 + Ih_0(d_{41}, d_{42}), \quad B_4 = B_3 - G_1$$

$$B_5 = G_2 + G_3 - G_4 + Ih_0(-d_{21}, -d_{22}) - Ih_0(-d_{41}, -d_{42}), \quad B_6 = B_5 - G_1,$$

where

$$I = \left(\frac{4\beta + \frac{16}{3}\alpha^3}{\sigma}\right)\log\left(\frac{S_0}{H}\right)T.$$

$$C_1 = \frac{S_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{d_{21}^2}{2}} \left(\frac{S_0}{H}\right)^{-1-\frac{2r}{\sigma^2}} \sigma\sqrt{T}, \quad C_2 = \frac{S_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{d_{31}^2}{2}} \left(d_{31} - \frac{a}{H} d_{32}\right)$$

$$C_3 = \frac{S_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{d_{31}^2}{2}} \left(d_{41} - \frac{a}{H} d_{42}\right), \quad C = \frac{C_2 - C_3}{2}$$

$$D_1 = \frac{S_0}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{d_{11}^2}{2}} \sigma\sqrt{T} - \frac{C_1}{4}, \quad D_2 = \frac{C_1}{4}, \quad D_3 = D_1 + D_2$$

$$E_1 = 8TH_2(d_{21}, d_{22}) + C_1, \quad E_2 = 8Th_2(d_{41}, d_{42}) + \frac{3C_2 + C_3}{2}$$

$$E_3 = 8Th_2(-d_{21}, -d_{22}) - 8Th_2(-d_{41}, -d_{42}) - C_1 + \frac{3C_2 + C_3}{2},$$

$$A = -\sigma^2 T(6 + d_1^2 + d_2^2) + 4Tr(d_1^2 - d_2^2) - 12r^2T^2,$$

$$I = \frac{S_0 e^{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}}}{24\sigma\sqrt{2\pi T}} \left[A - 12\sigma^2 T(\Delta_n^2 - 1)\right],$$

$$F_{n,1} = \begin{cases} A_2 \Delta_n^H, & a < H \\ A_1 \Delta_n^H, & a \geq H \end{cases}$$

$$F_{n,2} = \begin{cases} B_3 - C\varepsilon_n^2 - E_2 \left(\Delta_n^H\right)^2, & a < H \\ B_1 - D_1 \left(\Delta_n\right)^2 - E_1 \left(\Delta_n^H\right)^2, & a \geq H \end{cases}$$

$$F_{n,3} = \begin{cases} -B_4 - D_3 \left(\Delta_n\right)^2 + C\varepsilon_n^2 + E_2 \left(\Delta_n^H\right)^2, & a < H \\ -B_2 - D_2 \left(\Delta_n\right)^2 - E_1 \left(\Delta_n^H\right)^2, & a \geq H \end{cases}$$

$$F_{n,4} = \begin{cases} A_3 \Delta_n^H, & a < H \\ 0, & a \geq H \end{cases}$$

$$F_{n,5} = \begin{cases} B_5 - D_2 \left(\Delta_n\right)^2 - C\varepsilon_n^2 - E_3 \left(\Delta_n^H\right)^2, & a < H \\ H, & a \geq H \end{cases}$$

$$F_{n,6} = \begin{cases} -B_6 - D_1 \left(\Delta_n\right)^2 + C\varepsilon_n^2 + E_3 \left(\Delta_n^H\right)^2, & a < H \\ I, & a \geq H \end{cases}$$

$$F_{n,7} = \begin{cases} -B_6 - D_1 \left(\Delta_n\right)^2 + C\varepsilon_n^2 + E_3 \left(\Delta_n^H\right)^2, & a < H \\ 0, & a \geq H \end{cases}$$

References

[1] Broadie, M., Glasserman, P., and Kou, S.G. (1999): Connecting discrete and continuous path-dependent options, *Finance and Stochastics*, 3, 55–82.

[2] Cawston, S., and Vostrikova, L. (2009): Continuity property of option prices in incomplete markets, *Theory of Probability and their applications*, accepted, arXiv: 0904.3274.

[3] Chang, L., and Palmer, K. (2007): Smooth convergence in the binomial model, *Finance and Stochastics*, 11: 91–105.

[4] Cox, J., Ross, S.A., and Rubinstein, M. (1979): Option pricing: a simplified approach, *J. Financ. Econ.*, 7: 229–263.

[5] Diener, F., and Diener, M. (2004): Asymptotics of the price oscillations of a European call option in a tree model, *Mathematical Finance*, 14: 271–293.

[6] Heston, S., and Zhou, G. (2000): On the rate of convergence of discrete-time contingent claims, *Mathematical Finance*, 10: 53–75.

[7] Hsia, C.-C. (1983): On binomial option pricing, *J. Financ. Res.*, 6: 41–50.

[8] Jarrow, R., and Rudd, A. (1983): Option pricing, *Irwin, Homewood.*

[9] Jiang, L.-S., Qian, X.-S., and Xu, C.-L. (2003): Numerical analysis on binomial tree methods for a jump-diffusion model, *J. of Computational and Applied Math.*, 156: 23–45.

[10] Joshi, M. (2010): Achieving higher order convergence for the prices of European options in binomial trees, *Mathematical Finance*, 20: 89–103.

[11] Leisen, D., and Reimer, M. (1996): Binomial models for option valuation – examining and improving convergence, *Applied Mathematical Finance*, 3: 319–346.

[12] Lin, J., and Palmer, K. (2012): Convergence of barrier option prices in the binomial model, *Mathematical Finance*, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9965.2011.00501.x.

[13] Rendleman, R., and Bartter, B. (1979): Two state option pricing, *J. Financ.*, 34: 1093–1110.

[14] Tian, Y. (1993): A modified lattice approach to option pricing, *Journal of Futures Markets*, 13: 563–577.

[15] Viitasaari, L. (2012): Option prices with call prices, ArXiv: 1207.6205.

[16] Walsh, J. (2003): The rate of convergence of the binomial tree scheme, *Finance and Stochastics*, 7: 337–361.

[17] Xiao, X. (2010): Improving speed of convergence for the prices of European options in binomial trees with even numbers of steps, *App. Math. and Computation*, 216: 2659–2670.